

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/082,551		Applicant(s) CHENG ET AL.	
	Examiner Matthew Heneghan		Art Unit 2134	

**All Participants:**

(1) Matthew Heneghan.

(2) Timothy MacIntyre.

**Date of Interview:** 20 March 2006

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 8:30 AM EST

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
 35 U.S.C. 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> para.

Claims discussed:  
 3

Prior art documents discussed:  
 N/A


**Part II.**


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner noted that a response to the rejection of claim 3 under 35 U.S.C. 112 had been inadvertently been omitted from the amendment filed 3 January 2006. Attorney MacIntyre authorized the cancellation of claim 3 if the application were found to be otherwise allowable.